



**National Defence University Islamabad**

**University Examination & Research Committee (UERC) Quantified Progress**  
**Report Spring/ Fall – 20**

**1. PhD scholars info (to be filled by concerned Dept in consultation with the PhD Scholar)**

<b>* Supervisor</b> <input type="text"/>	<b>* Student's Name</b> <input type="text"/>
<b>* Registration Number of Student:</b> <input type="text"/>	<b>* Department</b> <input type="text"/>
<b>* Course Work CGPA</b> <input type="text"/>	<b>* Starting Date of Thesis</b> <input type="text"/>
<b>* Comprehensive Exam Pass%</b> <input type="text"/>	<b>* Completion Date (minimum) of Thesis:</b> <input type="text"/>
<b>* Thesis Title:</b> <input type="text"/>	<b>* Thesis Credit Hrs:</b> <input type="text"/>
<b>* Change of Thesis Title (If Applicable):</b> <input type="text"/>	<b>* Change of Thesis Supervisor (If Applicable):</b> <input type="text"/>



## 2. University Examination & Research Committee (UERC) Quantified Progress.

Parameters				Rating by Thesis Supervisor	Remarks
1. Number of formal interactions with supervisor according to PhD Progress Log.					
Number of Interactions On Monthly basis	Mode (Online/ Onsite)	Total Marks	Marks Obtained		
1 <sup>st</sup>		1.5			
2 <sup>nd</sup>		1.5			
3 <sup>rd</sup>		1.5			
4 <sup>th</sup>		1.5			
5 <sup>th</sup>		1.5			
6 <sup>th</sup>		1.5			
1 x addl mark will be given, if a scholar has 3 x interactions on site (within NDU).		1			
<b>Total</b>		<b>10</b>			
2. Literature Review					
Number of Interactions On Monthly basis	Mode (Online/ Onsite)	Total Marks	Marks Obtained		
Theoretical Framework/ Model		4			
Hypothesis is formulated scientifically.		4			
The study is logically organized and is corresponding to the questions raised in the beginning		2			
<b>Total</b>		<b>10</b>			
<b>Total Marks = 10</b>					
3. Is the methodology relevant to the field of research study and central questions that have been raised in intro are being addressed in research work, appropriately?					
Number of Interactions On Monthly basis	Mode (Online/ Onsite)	Total Marks	Marks Obtained		
The thesis contains a conceptually sound section on philosophy of research.		4			
The philosophy is in Sync with research design and the methods employed.		4			
The thesis incorporates adequate primary/secondary sources.		2			
<b>Total</b>		<b>10</b>			
<b>Total Marks = 10</b>					

Parameters	Rating by Thesis Supervisor	Remarks																					
4. Are you satisfied that research promotes new knowledge and is in accordance with Pakistan's national integrity / interest?  Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>  <b>Total Marks = 10</b>																							
5. Please mark the scholar on the basis of fol parameters:- <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Parameters</th> <th>Max Marks</th> <th>Marks Obtained</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Commitment</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Interest</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>English proficiency</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ability to work independently</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Passion for contribution</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Total Marks</b></td> <td><b>10</b></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Parameters	Max Marks	Marks Obtained	Commitment	02		Interest	02		English proficiency	02		Ability to work independently	02		Passion for contribution	02		<b>Total Marks</b>	<b>10</b>			
Parameters	Max Marks	Marks Obtained																					
Commitment	02																						
Interest	02																						
English proficiency	02																						
Ability to work independently	02																						
Passion for contribution	02																						
<b>Total Marks</b>	<b>10</b>																						

6. Any other point / comments by Supervisor:-

-----

-----

-----

-----  
(Signatures of Supervisor)

\_\_\_\_\_ % Score Obtained

\*Progress is Satisfactory / Adverse<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Less than 50% Average Score is 'Adverse'.





**National Defence University Islamabad**

**University Examination & Research Committee (UERC) Quantified Progress**  
**Report Spring/ Fall – 20**

**1. PhD scholars info (to be filled by concerned Dept in consultation with the PhD Scholar)**

<b>* Supervisor</b> <input type="text"/>	<b>* Student's Name</b> <input type="text"/>
<b>* Co- Supervisor</b> <input type="text"/>	<b>* Department</b> <input type="text"/>
<b>* Registration Number of Student:</b> <input type="text"/>	<b>* Starting Date of Thesis</b> <input type="text"/>
<b>* Course Work CGPA</b> <input type="text"/>	<b>* Completion Date (minimum) of Thesis:</b> <input type="text"/>
<b>* Comprehensive Exam Pass%</b> <input type="text"/>	<b>* Thesis Credit Hrs:</b> <input type="text"/>
<b>* Thesis Title:</b> <input type="text"/>	<b>* Change of Thesis Supervisor (If Applicable):</b> <input type="text"/>
<b>* Change of Thesis Title (If Applicable):</b> <input type="text"/>	



## 2. University Examination & Research Committee (UERC) Quantified Progress.

Parameters				Rating by Thesis Co-Supervisor	Remarks
1. Number of formal interactions with supervisor according to PhD Progress Log.					
Number of Interactions On Monthly basis	Mode (Online/ Onsite)	Total Marks	Marks Obtained		
1 <sup>st</sup>		1.5			
2 <sup>nd</sup>		1.5			
3 <sup>rd</sup>		1.5			
4 <sup>th</sup>		1.5			
5 <sup>th</sup>		1.5			
6 <sup>th</sup>		1.5			
1 x addl mark will be given, if a scholar has 3 x interactions on site (within NDU).		1			
<b>Total</b>		<b>10</b>			
2. Literature Review					
Number of Interactions On Monthly basis	Mode (Online/ Onsite)	Total Marks	Marks Obtained		
Theoretical Framework/ Model		4			
Hypothesis is formulated scientifically.		4			
The study is logically organized and is corresponding to the questions raised in the beginning		2			
<b>Total</b>		<b>10</b>			
<b>Total Marks = 10</b>					
3. Is the methodology relevant to the field of research study and central questions that have been raised in intro are being addressed in research work, appropriately?					
Number of Interactions On Monthly basis	Mode (Online/ Onsite)	Total Marks	Marks Obtained		
The thesis contains a conceptually sound section on philosophy of research.		4			
The philosophy is in Sync with research design and the methods employed.		4			
The thesis incorporates adequate primary/secondary sources.		2			
<b>Total</b>		<b>10</b>			
<b>Total Marks = 10</b>					



Parameters	Rating by Thesis Co-Supervisor	Remarks																					
4. Are you satisfied that research promotes new knowledge and is in accordance with Pakistan's national integrity / interest? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Total Marks = 10</b>																							
5. Please mark the scholar on the basis of fol parameters:- <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Parameters</th> <th>Max Marks</th> <th>Marks Obtained</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Commitment</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Interest</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>English proficiency</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ability to work independently</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Passion for contribution</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Total Marks</b></td> <td><b>10</b></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Parameters	Max Marks	Marks Obtained	Commitment	02		Interest	02		English proficiency	02		Ability to work independently	02		Passion for contribution	02		<b>Total Marks</b>	<b>10</b>			
Parameters	Max Marks	Marks Obtained																					
Commitment	02																						
Interest	02																						
English proficiency	02																						
Ability to work independently	02																						
Passion for contribution	02																						
<b>Total Marks</b>	<b>10</b>																						

6. Any other point / comments by Co-supervisor:-

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----  
(Signatures of Co-Supervisor)

\_\_\_\_\_ % Score Obtained

\*Progress is Satisfactory / Adverse<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Less than 50% Average Score is 'Adverse'.





**National Defence University Islamabad,**  
**Pakistan**

**University Examination & Research Committee (UERC) Quantified Progress**  
**Report Spring/ Fall – 20**

1. PhD scholars info (to be filled by concerned Dept in consultation with the PhD Scholar)

<p>* Supervisor</p> <input type="text"/>	<p>* Student's Name</p> <input type="text"/>
<p>* Subject Expert</p> <input type="text"/>	<p>* Department</p> <input type="text"/>
<p>* Registration Number of Student:</p> <input type="text"/>	<p>* Starting Date of Thesis</p> <input type="text"/>
<p>* Course Work CGPA</p> <input type="text"/>	<p>* Completion Date (minimum) of Thesis:</p> <input type="text"/>
<p>* Comprehensive Exam Pass%</p> <input type="text"/>	<p>* Thesis Credit Hrs:</p> <input type="text"/>
<p>* Thesis Title:</p> <input type="text"/>	<p>* Change of Thesis Supervisor (If Applicable):</p> <input type="text"/>
<p>* Change of Thesis Title (If Applicable):</p> <input type="text"/>	



## 2. University Examination & Research Committee (UERC) Quantified Progress.

Parameters				Rating by Subj Expert	Remarks
1. Number of formal interactions with supervisor according to PhD Progress Log.					
Number of Interactions On Monthly basis	Mode (Online/ Onsite)	Total Marks	Marks Obtained		
1 <sup>st</sup>		1.5			
2 <sup>nd</sup>		1.5			
3 <sup>rd</sup>		1.5			
4 <sup>th</sup>		1.5			
5 <sup>th</sup>		1.5			
6 <sup>th</sup>		1.5			
1 x addl mark will be given, if a scholar has 3 x interactions on site (within NDU).		1			
Total		10			
2. Literature Review					
Number of Interactions On Monthly basis	Mode (Online/ Onsite)	Total Marks	Marks Obtained		
Theoretical Framework/ Model		4			
Hypothesis is formulated scientifically.		4			
The study is logically organized and is corresponding to the questions raised in the beginning		2			
Total		10			
Total Marks = 10					
3. Is the methodology relevant to the field of research study and central questions that have been raised in intro are being addressed in research work, appropriately?					
Number of Interactions On Monthly basis	Mode (Online/ Onsite)	Total Marks	Marks Obtained		
The thesis contains a conceptually sound section on philosophy of research.		4			
The philosophy is in Sync with research design and the methods employed.		4			
The thesis incorporates adequate primary/secondary sources.		2			
Total		10			
Total Marks = 10					





Parameters	Rating by Subj Expert	Remarks																					
<p>4. Are you satisfied that research promotes new knowledge and is in accordance with Pakistan's national integrity / interest?</p> <p>Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p><b>Total Marks = 10</b></p>																							
<p>5. Please mark the scholar on the basis of fol parameters:-</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Parameters</th> <th>Max Marks</th> <th>Marks Obtained</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Commitment</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Interest</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>English proficiency</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ability to work independently</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Passion for contribution</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Total Marks</b></td> <td><b>10</b></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Parameters	Max Marks	Marks Obtained	Commitment	02		Interest	02		English proficiency	02		Ability to work independently	02		Passion for contribution	02		<b>Total Marks</b>	<b>10</b>			
Parameters	Max Marks	Marks Obtained																					
Commitment	02																						
Interest	02																						
English proficiency	02																						
Ability to work independently	02																						
Passion for contribution	02																						
<b>Total Marks</b>	<b>10</b>																						

6. Any other point / comments by Subject Expert:-

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----  
(Signatures of Subject Expert)

\_\_\_\_\_ % Score Obtained

\*Progress is Satisfactory / Adverse<sup>3</sup>

<sup>3</sup> Less than 50% Average Score is 'Adverse'.





**National Defence University Islamabad,**  
**Pakistan**

**University Examination & Research Committee (UERC) Quantified Progress**  
**Report Spring/ Fall – 20**

**1. PhD scholars info (to be filled by concerned Dept in consultation with the PhD Scholar)**

<b>* Supervisor</b>  	<b>* Student's Name</b>  
<b>* Head of Dept.</b>  	<b>* Department</b>  
<b>* Registration Number of Student:</b>  	<b>* Starting Date of Thesis</b>  
<b>* Course Work CGPA</b>  	<b>* Completion Date (minimum) of Thesis:</b>  
<b>* Comprehensive Exam Pass%</b>  	<b>* Thesis Credit Hrs:</b>  
<b>* Thesis Title:</b>  	<b>* Change of Thesis Supervisor (If Applicable):</b>  
<b>* Change of Thesis Title (If Applicable):</b>  	



## 2. University Examination & Research Committee (UERC) Quantified Progress.

Parameters				Rating by HoD	Remarks
1. Number of formal interactions with supervisor according to PhD Progress Log.					
Number of Interactions On Monthly basis	Mode (Online/ Onsite)	Total Marks	Marks Obtained		
1 <sup>st</sup>		1.5			
2 <sup>nd</sup>		1.5			
3 <sup>rd</sup>		1.5			
4 <sup>th</sup>		1.5			
5 <sup>th</sup>		1.5			
6 <sup>th</sup>		1.5			
1 x addl mark will be given, if a scholar has 3 x interactions on site (within NDU).		1			
Total		10			
2. Literature Review					
Number of Interactions On Monthly basis	Mode (Online/ Onsite)	Total Marks	Marks Obtained		
Theoretical Framework/ Model		4			
Hypothesis is formulated scientifically.		4			
The study is logically organized and is corresponding to the questions raised in the beginning		2			
Total		10			
Total Marks = 10					
3. Is the methodology relevant to the field of research study and central questions that have been raised in intro are being addressed in research work, appropriately?					
Number of Interactions On Monthly basis	Mode (Online/ Onsite)	Total Marks	Marks Obtained		
The thesis contains a conceptually sound section on philosophy of research.		4			
The philosophy is in Sync with research design and the methods employed.		4			
The thesis incorporates adequate primary/secondary sources.		2			
Total		10			
Total Marks = 10					

Parameters	Rating by HoD	Remarks																					
4. Are you satisfied that research promotes new knowledge and is in accordance with Pakistan's national integrity / interest?  Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>  <b>Total Marks = 10</b>																							
5. Please mark the scholar on the basis of fol parameters:- <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Parameters</th> <th>Max Marks</th> <th>Marks Obtained</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Commitment</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Interest</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>English proficiency</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ability to work independently</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Passion for contribution</td> <td>02</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Total Marks</b></td> <td><b>10</b></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Parameters	Max Marks	Marks Obtained	Commitment	02		Interest	02		English proficiency	02		Ability to work independently	02		Passion for contribution	02		<b>Total Marks</b>	<b>10</b>			
Parameters	Max Marks	Marks Obtained																					
Commitment	02																						
Interest	02																						
English proficiency	02																						
Ability to work independently	02																						
Passion for contribution	02																						
<b>Total Marks</b>	<b>10</b>																						

6. Any other point / comments by HoD Concerned:-

-----

-----

-----

-----

-----  
(Signatures of Respective HoD)

\_\_\_\_\_ % Score Obtained

\*Progress is Satisfactory / Adverse<sup>4</sup>

<sup>4</sup> Less than 50% Average Score is 'Adverse'.





**PHD PROGRESS LOG**  
(Semester Spring / Fall \_\_\_\_)

**Section 1: Student Details.**

<b>Name of PhD Scholar</b>	
<b>Registration No.</b>	
<b>Department</b>	
<b>Approved Thesis Title</b>	
<b>Name of Thesis Supervisor</b>	

**Section 2: Record of Formal Meetings with Thesis Supervisor.**

Respective thesis Supervisor and a PhD Scholar should be bound to have 06 x formal meetings (on monthly basis) in each semester (on campus or Online) and out of which minimum 03 x interactions/meetings should be on campus.

Monthly Mtg	Date of Mtg	Mode of Mtg (On campus / Online)	Supervisor's Comments & Milestone for Next Mtg	Signature of Supervisor & PhD Scholar
1 <sup>st</sup> Month				
2 <sup>nd</sup> Month				
3 <sup>rd</sup> Month				
4 <sup>th</sup> Month				
5 <sup>th</sup> Month				
6 <sup>th</sup> Month				

**Section 3: Record – UERC Progress**

UERC Progress	Date of UERC Conduct	UERC Scoring	Status (Satisfactory/Adverse)
1 <sup>st</sup> UERC			
2 <sup>nd</sup> UERC			
3 <sup>rd</sup> UERC			
4 <sup>th</sup> UERC			
5 <sup>th</sup> UERC			
6 <sup>th</sup> UERC			
<b>Total &amp; Avg</b>			



**Section 4: Certificate by PhD Scholar:**

I confirm that the information I have given in this PhD Progress Log is true and accurate record and that I have provided a copy to my department:

Signature of the PhD Scholar \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

**Section 5: Comments of the Thesis Supervisor:-**

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Signature of the Thesis Supervisor \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_





Anx-D

**National Defence University, Islamabad****CHECK LIST FOR QEC & UERC MEMBERS****Report Spring/ Fall – 20**1. **PhD Scholars Info** (to be filled by the QEC & UERC Members)

<p>* <b>Registration Number of Student:</b></p> <input type="text"/> <p>* <b>Course Work CGPA</b></p> <input type="text"/> <p>* <b>Comprehensive Exam Pass%</b></p> <p>* <b>Thesis Topic (Updated):</b></p> <input type="text"/>	<p>* <b>Student's Name</b></p> <input type="text"/> <p>* <b>Department</b></p> <input type="text"/> <p>* <b>Starting Date of Thesis</b></p> <input type="text"/> <p>* <b>Completion Date (minimum) of Thesis:</b></p> <input type="text"/> <p>* <b>Thesis Credit Hrs:</b></p> <input type="text"/> <p>* <b>Last UERC Progress</b></p> <input type="text"/>
--	--

## 2. Checklist - QEC

Sr.	Points / Questions	Yes	No	N.A	Remarks
1.	Mandatory HEC requirement of publishing at least one research article for PhD degree in HEC recognized journal relevant to his / her PhD dissertation?				
2.	Research scholar attended up to 10 x PhD relevant thesis defense in different public / private universities preferably in the scholar's discipline of knowledge/ research?				
3.	Scholar has appeared in Doctoral Seminar?				
4.	Scholar's DRC has been conducted (Before Submission to Exam branch)?				
5.	Post Foreign Evaluation Reports DRC has been conducted?				



### 3. Checklist – UERC Members.

Sr.	Points / Questions	Yes	No	N.A	Remarks
<b>To be checked / marked by Thesis Supervisor/ Co-supervisor</b>					
1.	Supervisor and a PhD Scholar had 06 x formal meetings (on monthly basis) in each semester onsite at NDU or Online (out of which minimum 03 x interactions/meetings should be on site at the Supervisors Office (NDU)?				
2.	PhD Progress Log is being maintained parallelly by the research scholar?				
3.	Recommend final topic of thesis for approval of the ASRB?				
4.	Critically examine the biannual progress and quality of research during the period?				
5.	Debate each & every aspect of the proposal including hypothesis, research questions, conceptual model/framework, validity of data collection instruments, choice of data analysis tools, expected results and contribution to the existing body of knowledge etc?				
<b>To be checked / marked by Subject Expert</b>					
1.	Provide appropriate guidance and document it through concerned thesis supervisor?				
<b>To be checked / marked by HoD Concerned</b>					
1.	Research progress through PhD Log is being maintained by the respective supervisor?				
2.	Evaluation and research progress of the scholar for presentation before the ASRB.				
3.	In case of adverse report, respective HOD may inform the PhD scholar in the UERC meeting and also fwd written report to the scholar's provided email and last working address?				
4.	Recommend change of Supervisor and Research Topic if required?				

-----  
Name & Signature of QEC Rep

-----  
Name & Signature of UERC Member

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

